

AO 450 (Rev. 5/85) Judgment in a Civil Case ⊕

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

\*\*\*\*\* DISTRICT OF NEVADA

### JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

FRANK J. FOSBRE, JR., )  
derivatively on behalf ) **3:09-CV-0467-ECR-RAM (Base Case)**  
of INTERNATIONAL GAME ) Member Cases 3:09-CV-0489,  
TECHNOLOGY, ) 3:09-CV-0536, and 3:09-CV-0542

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al., )

Defendants )

and )

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, )

Nominal Defendant )

JEANNE M. CALAMORE, )

derivatively )  
on behalf of INTERNATIONAL )  
GAME TECHNOLOGY, )

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al., )

Defendants )

and )

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, )

Nominal Defendant )

**3:09-CV-0489-ECR-VPC**

SANJAY ISRANI, derivatively	)	<b>3:09-CV-0536-ECR-RAM</b>
on behalf of	)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY)	)	
_____	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
ROBERT A. BITTMAN, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,	)	
	)	
Nominal Defendant	)	
_____	)	

IRINA ARONSON, derivatively	)	<b>3:09-CV-0542-ECR-VPC</b>
on behalf of	)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY)	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
THOMAS J. MATTHEWS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY,	)	
	)	
Nominal Defendant	)	
_____	)	

**X Decision by Court.** This action came to be considered before the Court. The issues have been considered and a decision has been rendered.

On July 2, 2010, the Court Ordered (#81) that IGT's Motion to Dismiss (#54) be granted and that Plaintiffs would have twenty-one (21) days within which to file an amended complaint. Plaintiffs have not filed an amended complaint.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs.

Lance S. Wilson

August 5, 2010

Clerk

Date

*Lance S. Wilson*

